

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/035,998	12/26/2001	Joseph Honein	IM 1725 CIP	2457
:	7590 04/22/2002			
Kenneth H. Johnson P.O. Box 630708 Houston, TX 77263			EXAMINER	
			CHIN SHUE, ALVIN C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3634	
			DATE MAILED: 04/22/2002	!

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

E		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/035,998	HONEIN, JOSEPH			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Alvin C. Chin-Shue	3634			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	· '				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
•	 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	WI HOIH CONSIDERATION.				
	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some c) ☐ Notice of. 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>3</u> .	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/035,998

Art Unit: 3634

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Anguera.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Larsen. To provide additional of his pins 5, as claimed, to enhance the securement of his wooden boards 1-4 together, to alternate the grains of the boards, as claimed, as such is a conventional practice to enhance the integrity of the plank, to use only three boards, as set forth in claims 6 and 13, and make each of the claimed dimension, and thus the resulting plank of the claimed dimensions, would have been an obvious mechanical expedient, and to use woods having the claimed modulus of elasticity and fiber strength,

Application/Control Number: 10/035,998

Art Unit: 3634

would have been an obvious mechanical expedient, by the selection from known materials on the basis of their suitability for a particular use.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Larsen in view of Webster. Larsen shows the claimed plank with the exception of the claimed three pins. Webster shows the use of helical pins for compressively securing a plurality of boards. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Larsen with a plurality of pins as claimed to enhance the securement of his boards. Furthermore, To use three of such pins to further enhance the securement of his boards, and to alternate the grains of the boards, as claimed, as such is a conventional practice to enhance the integrity of the plank, to use only three boards, as set forth in claims 6 and 13 and make each of the claimed dimension, and thus the resulting plank of the claimed dimensions, would have been an obvious mechanical expedient, and use woods having the claimed modulus of elasticity and fiber strength, would have been an obvious mechanical expedient, by the selection from known materials on the basis of their suitability for a particular use.

Application/Control Number: 10/035,998

Art Unit: 3634

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Larsen or Webster in view of Anguera. Both Larsen shows the claimed composite planks with the exception of the claimed pins. Anguera teaches the use of square cross-section pins for pinning composite boards. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide either Larsen or Webster with pins as claimed for compressively pinning their boards together.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin C. Chin-Shue whose telephone number is 703-308-2475. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on 703-308-2686. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3597 for regular communications and 703-305-3597 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-3008-1113.

Alvin Chin-Shue Primary Examiner